### Are scale-free networks self-similar?

Mean Distance in Scale Free Networks  $P(k) \sim k^{-\lambda}$  $\ell = const.$  $\lambda = 2$ Ultra  $\ell = \log \log N$  $2 < \lambda < 3$ **Small** World  $\ell = \frac{\log N}{\log \log N}$  $\lambda = 3$ (Bollobas, Riordan, 2002)  $\lambda > 3$  $\ell = \log N$ (Bollobas, 1985) **Small World** (Newman, 2001)

Cohen, Havlin Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 58701(2003)
Cohen, Havlin and ben-Avraham, in Handbook of Graphs and Networks eds. Bornholdt and Shuster (Willy-VCH, NY, 2002) chap.4
Confirmed also by: Dorogovtsev et al (2002), Chung and Lu (2002)

Are scale-free networks self-similar?

- On one hand scale-free no characteristic degree suggest self-similarity--FRACTALITY
- On the other hand, for self-similarity or invariance under length scale transformation one needs a power-law relation

$$N = \ell^{d_{\ell}}$$
  
Since it must be a solution of:  $N(bL) = b^{d_f} N(L)$   
Here  $\ell = \log N$  or  $\ell = \log \log N$   
Thus,  $N = e^{\ell/\ell_0}$  or  $N = e^{e^{\ell/\ell_0}}$ 

Small World or Ultra-small World are Against Self-Similarity!

## **Box counting method**



> Generate boxes where all nodes are within a distance  $\ell$ 

> Calculate number of boxes,  $n(\ell)$ , of size  $\ell$  needed to cover the network

➢ We obtain for WWW, social networks, cellular networks, etc.

$$N_{B}(\ell) \Box \ell^{-d_{\ell}}$$
  
or  
$$N \Box \ell^{d_{\ell}} \quad 2 < d_{\ell} < 5 \implies \text{Self similarity}$$

How can one reconcile this and the exponential relation with distance?





Different methods yield different results due to heterogeneous topology Box covering reveal the self similarity!! Cluster growth reveal the small world!! NO CONTRADICTION!!! SAME HUBS ARE USED MANY TIMES FOR SW

### Hierarchy of Scale Free Renormalization and Box Covering Approach

 $\ell_{\rm B} =$  $\ell_{\rm B} = 2$  $\ell_{\rm B} = 3$ 

**NOW REGARD** EACH BOX AS A SINGLE NODE AND ASK WHAT **IS THE DEGREE DISRIBUTION OF** THE NETWORK **OF BOXES AT** DIFERENT **SCALES** ?

# Renormalization of WWW network with $\ell_B = 3$





# Hierarchy of Scale Free

After Renormalization:  $P(k) \rightarrow P'(k') \sim (k')^{-\lambda}$ 

With the same  $\lambda$  !

Where THE SCALING TRANSFORMATION

$$k \rightarrow k' = s(\ell_B)k$$

TRANSFORMATION OF THE DEGREE **S** DISTRIBUTION

$$S(\ell_B) \sim \ell_B^{-d_k}$$

HOW FAMILIES OF VARIOUS SIZES ARE LINKED?

From which follows:

Chaoming Song, SH, Hernan Makse, Nature, in press (2005)

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{d_B}{d_k}$$



#### Fractal and Degree exponents for Various Networks

Network	$d_B$	$d_k$ 1	$1 + d_B/d_k$	λ
WWW	4.1	2.5	2.6	2.6
Actor	6.3	5.3	2.2	2.2
E. coli (PIN)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.2
H. sapiens (PIN)	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.1
43 cellular networks	3.5	3.2	2.1	2.2
Scale-free tree	3.4	2.5	2.4	2.3

TABLE I: Summary of the exponents obtained for the scale-invariant networks studied in the manuscript.



### NOT ALL REAL NETWORKS ARE FRACTALS! ALMOST NO MODEL!!





## Summary and Challenges

- ✤ In contrast to common believe, many real world networks are self similar,  $M_B \sim \ell_B^{d_B}$
- The degree distribution is scale free under length scale transformation,  $P(k) \approx k^{-\lambda}$
- The scaling of degree distribution  $k' = s(\ell_B)k$ where  $s(\ell_B) \sim \ell_B^{-d_k}$ Finally:  $\lambda = 1 + \frac{d_B}{d_k}$
- ✤ What is the origin of self similarity?
- Most models are not self similar!! How to generate a self similar (fractal) network?



 $\mathbf{a}$ 

 $\mathbf{b}$ 





















